Attachment I

Design Report

fjmtstudio



Darlinghurst Collection - DA Amendment

Summary of Changes — 19/11/21

ment 9/11/21

Contents

Regarding updated DA documentation issued on 21/10/21 for: 58-76 and 82-106 Oxford Street, Darlinghurst - D/2020/1071, and Development Application: 110-122 Oxford Street, Darlinghurst - D/2020/1072

The amended documents include changes that respond to the resolution of the Local Planning Panel from meeting held 22/09/21. The Panel raised the following main issues to be addressed through this amended documentation:

<u>LPP Issues</u>

- Setbacks
- 386 Roof Form
 - Environmental
 - Privacy/Outlook

"The Panel deferred consideration of Development Application No. D/2020/1071 and Development Application No. D/2020/1072 until a subsequent meeting of the Local Planning Panel to enable further consideration of issues raised by the Design Advisory Panel and the Heritage Committee, particularly in relation to variety of roof forms, streetscape, setbacks in particular the benefit of observing a 3m setback behind the Oxford Street parapets, amenity, privacy, outlook, and environmental performance."

This report provides additional material that summarises these changes, in the same format as presented in consultation with the City of Sydney on 8/10/21.













fjmt have reviewed building setbacks across the three groups, with reference to the draft planning controls for Oxford Street.

/Excerpt From Draft LEP

5.X.5.3 Building alignment, setbacks and street frontage heights

Oxford Street is characterised by a predominantly low scale built form, with a consistent building alignment and strong street edge. Street frontage heights will create the scale and type of built form required to maintain light to the street and manage its character and amenity.

Upper storey additions should be recessive, secondary forms which protect the heritage character of the precinct and reinforce the vista from Taylor Square to Hyde Park. Upper level setbacks will ensure that traditional parapet walls, often ornately detailed and an important heritage element, are protected and retained. They will ensure that new built form provides adequate solar access to neighbouring properties.

Objectives

- (a) Establish an appropriate scale, massing and <u>modulation</u> for new development which respects and responds to the architectural character, <u>rhythm and grain</u> of the existing built fabric of the high street.
- (b) Achieve a street wall height that reinforces the human scale in the public domain and protects the view corridor along Oxford Street towards Hyde Park and the City skyline.
- (c) Reduce the visual dominance of upper storey additions and protect the integrity of traditional parapets.
- (d) Ensure any upper storey additions which seek a reduced setback display exceptional design quality which results in a complementary relationship with the architectural language of the existing building.
- (e) Protect solar access in neighbouring residential properties with appropriate building setbacks from all boundaries.

fjmtstudio

Provisions

- (1) Street frontage heights are not to exceed the maximum height on the Street Frontage Height maps. Above the street wall, additional storeys on heritage listed and contributory buildings are to be set back a minimum of 3 metres (Figure 5). Note that the maximum number of storeys shown on Map XX: Height in storeys includes attics and mezzanines.
- (2) A greater minimum setback than that detailed in 5.X.4.3(1) may be required if identified as part of a Conservation Management Plan or Statement of Heritage Principles.
- (3) A reduced setback, which may include a nil setback on heritage listed and contributory buildings facing the squares referred to in 5.X.3, noted in Objectives (a) may only be considered where the Consent Authority is satisfied that the design outcome will be of the highest standard in the following areas:
 - (a) the relationship between the architectural language of the existing building and the addition, which is to be complementary and enhance and emphasize the qualities of both the existing and new parts of the building;
 - (b) the design and construction quality of the façades, including exposed side walls and expression of the roof.
- (4) Development is to reinforce the significant view corridor along Oxford Street and views to buildings framing street intersections and define corner sites through appropriate massing and façade design.
- (5) Subject to appropriate design which protects the integrity of the parapet, the 3 metre upper front setback of a building with frontages onto Oxford Street may be used to provide rooftop balcony space.
- (6) Above the street wall on the southern side of Foley Street, additional storeys are not required to be setback from the street frontage (Figure 6).
- (7) Notwithstanding any other provision in this section, building massing to side and rear boundaries is to ensure solar access to the windows of any dwelling, including ground floor habitable rooms, in accordance with the requirements of this DCP (Figure 7).

/Setbacks - Compliance With Draft LEP

The urban design strategy for these buildings is to minimise visibility at prominent street corners, by increasing the setback at the corners (in combination with providing an open terrace at the ends of building 2, to further reinforce this strategy), while setbacks are reduced in the centre of the building, where view impact is less sensitive. The amended proposal includes increased setbacks for Building 1 and 2, where the combined setback across each building now averages 3m. Due to the reduced width of the Building 3 block, and proposed functionality as a hotel, the 3m setback to Oxford Street is not achievable for Building 3, without compromising its feasibility as a hotel. However, we believe this building has a reduced visual impact in comparison to the allowable envelope, due to the reduced building height and profiled roof.



/Setbacks - Proposed Concept

The urban design strategy for these buildings is to minimise visibility at prominent street corners, by increasing the setback at the corners (in combination with providing an open terrace at the ends of building 2, to further reinforce this strategy), while setbacks are reduced in the centre of the building, where view impact is less sensitive.



/Building 1 Oxford St Setback Proposal

Legend	Average setback at apex	Average setback at base of wall	Area lost on L3	Area reclaimed on L4	
Original DA Setback	2343.64	2960.45	-	-	
Amended DA: average setback of 3m at apex	3035.71	2675.43	33.27sqm	33.27sqm	

391







/Building 1



Original DA design

/Typical scenario setback

fjmtstudio



Amended DA Design

/Average setback with variation shown dotted



Amended Roof Form Shown With DRAFT DCP Maximum Envelope Overlay (Grey Wireframe)



















Allowable envelope



/Building 2

Review of DA Design



/Minimum setback of Original DA design

fjmtstudio



/Maximum setback of Original DA design

/Building 2

• Proposed Minimum Setback comparison with Average shown dotted













No setbac_ik

/Building 2

Amended Roof Form Shown With DRAFT DCP Maximum Envelope Overlay (Grey Wireframe)



/Building 3

₿ Ø 4,448 Typical setback ٥ I -0 1----世界 -0



/Building 3 Setback Analysis

Level 3

405

/Building 3 Setback Analysis if 3m Setback Was Provided

Level 3

/Building 3 Setback Analysis if 3m Setback Was Provided

Level 4

/Building 3 Setback Analysis if 3m Setback Was Provided

2 hotel rooms lost

Level 5

3m setback /Building 3 Amended Roof Form Shown With DRAFT DCP Maximum Envelope Overlay (Grey Wireframe)

/Building 3 Amended Roof Form Shown With DRAFT DCP Maximum Envelope Overlay

fjmtstudio

3m setback

/Building 3

Amended Roof Form Shown With DRAFT DCP Maximum Envelope Overlay

fjmtstudio

3m setback

/Building 3 Amended Roof Form Shown With DRAFT DCP Maximum Envelope Overlay

416

Summary of Changes:

Building 1:

Average setback of 3m now provided

Building 2: Average setback of 3m now provided

Building 3:

A reduced setback of 550mm setback is proposed. View analysis included showing the proposed massing is significantly smaller than the allowable envelope, and the sloped roof profile is carefully considered to minimise view impact from Oxford Street.

fjmtstudio/architecture/interiors/ urban /landscape/place

34

/Building 1

/Building 1 Elevation

/Building 1 Elevation

/Building 1 Elevation

/Building 1 Elevation

/Building 1

/Building 1 Elevation

/Building 1

• Proposal: Coloured Zinc Materiality (varied grey tones)

The roof materiality has been changed to zinc, and the zinc finish is proposed to vary between each grouping of modules, so that the individual character of each 'building' in this group reads more strongly. Roof material and colours will be selected from the VMzinc 'Pigmento' coloured zinc range or equivalent.

Reference Image

425

age Material References: VMZinc 'Pigmento' Range

Reference Images/Coloured Zinc

/Building 1

• Example selection using the VMZinc Range or equivalent, final colours TBC

Example application of coloured modules across Building 1 using the VMZinc 'Pigmento' range* or equivalent *Pending sample review on site following brick restoration and stripping to best select complementary colours to existing brickwork.

BLD1 Colours CL02.A-E	All Building 1 colours will be selected fr following VMZinc colours or equivalent VM Zinc /Natural-Zinc VM Zinc /Azengar VM Zinc /Quartz-Zinc VM Zinc /Anthra-Zinc VM Zinc /Pigmento Blue
CL02.A	VM Zinc /Quartz-Zinc Or alternative colour selection from the N or equivalent, pending review of brick fol restoration/stripping
CL02.B	VM Zinc/Natural Zinc Or alternative colour selection from the N or equivalent, pending review of brick fol restoration/stripping
CL02.C	VM Zinc/Azengar Or alternative colour selection from the N or equivalent, pending review of brick fol restoration/stripping
CL02.D	VM Zinc /Quartz-Zinc Or alternative colour selection from the \ or equivalent, pending review of brick fol restoration/stripping
CL02.E	VM Zinc/Natural Zinc Or alternative colour selection from the N or equivalent, pending review of brick fol restoration/stripping

fjmtstudio/architecture/interiors/ urban /landscape/place

/Building 2

/Building 2 Oxford Street Character

fjmt's amended proposal introduces a break in the form at the building's centre, reinforcing the centrality of the heritage corridor and reinstated central flagpole. The door to the Level 3 terrace has been relocated to this central location, and the form now reinforces the symmetry of the Oxford Street elevation.

fjmtstudio

429

/Building 2 Oxford Street Character -Parapet

The apex of the angled glass now steps in response to the stepping of the parapet.

/Building 2

• Natural Zinc Materiality

The materiality of the roof extension for Building 2 will be natural zinc (for example, natural zinc supplied by VMZinc or equivalent) with a single continuous finish. The materiality will appear light and recessive, and be of a high quality finish.

/Material selection: Natural Zinc with pre-oxidised finish (Supplied by VMZinc or equivalent)

/Existing materiality reference: brickwork to be stripped

fjmtstudio/architecture/interiors/ urban /landscape/place

/Building 3

While Building 1 and 2 are commercial buildings and share an architectural expression reflective of their shared functionality, Building 3 is a hotel, and fjmt propose the building form should differ for this building, to reflect its differing use.

Building 3 does not have habitable spaces facing the Level 4 southern facade, and as such, does not incorporate the stepping skylights that feature in the other two buildings.

In the amended design, the glazing to the southern facade is further reduced by removing the glazed junction of angled glazing on the south facade, instead, the solid roofing panels wrap over a new curved profile of the southern facade.

fjmtstudio

/Building 3 Elevation

fjmtstudio

/Building 3 Elevation

fjmtstudio

/Building 3 Elevation

/Building 3

• Proposal: Coloured Zinc Materiality (varied copper tones)

Building 3 will have use high quality zinc materials, but have a distinctive copper-toned colour, differing from the grey zinc materiality of buildings 1 & 2. Roof material and colours will be selected from the VMzinc 'Pigmento' coloured zinc range or equivalent.

Reference Image: Existing Materiality

Reference Image

Proposed Design, Showing Varying Tones of Roofing Colours

	VM Zinc /Pigmento Red	VM Zinc /Pigmento Brown				h	
-							
1					-		
							0

Material References: VMZinc 'Pigmento' Range

Reference Image of Pigmento Product

/Building 3

• Example selection using the VMZinc Range or equivalent, final colours TBC

Example application of coloured modules across Building 3 using the **VMZinc 'Pigmento' range or equivalent** *Pending sample review on site following brick restoration and stripping to best select complementary colours to existing brickwork.

CL02.AVMZinc/ Pigmento Red Or alternative colour selection from VM Z equivalent, pending review of brick follow restoration/strippingCL02.BVMZinc/ Pigmento Brown Or alternative colour selection from VM Z
Or alternative colour selection from VM Z equivalent, pending review of brick follow restoration/stripping CL02.B VMZinc/ Pigmento Brown Or alternative colour selection from VM Z
equivalent, pending review of brick follow restoration/stripping CL02.B VMZinc/ Pigmento Brown Or alternative colour selection from VM 7
restoration/stripping CL02.B VMZinc/ Pigmento Brown Or alternative colour selection from VM 7
CL02.B VMZinc/ Pigmento Brown Or alternative colour selection from VM 7
Or alternative colour selection from VM 7
equivalent, pending review of brick follow
restoration/stripping
CL02.C VMZinc/ Pigmento Red
Or alternative colour selection from VM Z
equivalent, pending review of brick follow
restoration/stripping

Environmental

/Summary of Environmental Changes

1/ The materiality of the raked skylights on the South-West facade (building 1 & 2) has been changed from fritted glass to a glazing system with integrated metal shading screens.

2/ Reduced skylight sizes across all buildings. Building 1 and 2 have maximum skylight height of 2m above Level 4 mezzanine floor level (building 1). (See following slides)

3/Increased louvre depth on northern facade for additional shading (see following slides)

4/ Operable blinds are integrated to all south-west facing angled facades.

5/ Increased stepping between modules due to setback changes provide additional self shading of facade through increased vertical solid elements in facade.

fjmtstudio

1/Integrated Metal shading

/Skylights -Building 1

fjmtstudio -03/11/21

/Original DA-Proposal

/Amended DA Proposal with Skylight Adjustments

Reduction in Skylight Glazing

/Skylights -Building 1

fjmtstudio

/Original DA-Proposal

/Amended DA Proposal with Skylight Adjustments

/Stepped Height to the Skylight

Group 1 Oxford Street Elevation

/Skylights -Building 2

fjmtstudio

/Original DA-Proposal

/Amended DA Proposal with Skylight Adjustments

fjmtstudio

Reduction in Skylight Glazing

/Amended Proposal with Skylight Adjustments

/Stepped Height to the Skylight

Group 2 Oxford Street Elevation

/Stepped Height to the Skylight

Group 2 Oxford Street Elevation

Privacy & Outlook

LOUVRES

/Buildings 1_2 _3

• Building 2

• Building 3

/Buildings 1_2_3

• 450 Louvre Projection for Solar Protection and Downward Privacy

/Buildings 2 - Foley St Elevation & 265 Palmer St Study

265 Palmer St Southern Elevation Along Foley St

fjmtstudio

/Elevation Comparison

*1600mm denotes average eye level

LOUVRES

/Buildings 2 - Foley St Elevation & 265 Palmer St Study

/01 - Section_Eastern End of Foley St

fjmtstudio

[/]Key Section

/02 - Section_Western End of Foley St

PRIVACY

/Buildings 2 - Foley St Elevation

265 Palmer St Southern **Elevation Along Foley St**

453

fjmtstudio

/Privacy Study

PRIVACY

/Shadow Box Detail

fjmtstudio

OPAQUE SHADOW BOX FACADE TO NON--HABITABLE SPACES SUCH AS LIFT CORES, STAIR CORE, PLANT ROOMS & AMENITIES

The shadow box facade consists of a SGU with exterior glass to match adjacent facade panels, with a solid infill panel behind, which could consist of colourback glass, a solid metal panel, or similar.

The shadow box facade is opaque, allowing no views through the system, and is used to conceal non-habitable spaces on the facade including plant, services risers, cores and amenities